vss

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.8419 OF 2006

Gadhinglaj Taluka Sahakari
Sakhar Karkhana Ltd. ... Petitioner

V/s.

Jaising Shantaram Patil & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr.S.S. Patwardhan for Petitioner
Mr.K.S. Bapat for Respondent No.1

CORAM: **SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.**

DATED: **JUNE 16, 2007**

P.C.:

. The Labour Court had refused to grant interim relief to the Respondent-workman who has filed complaint under Item 1 (a), (b), (d) and (f) of Schedule IV of the MRTU & PULP Act. Aggrieved by the order of the Labour Court, the Respondent filed revision application (ULP) No.30 of 2003. That application has been allowed by the Industrial Court and hence, the Respondent workman has been reinstated in service from December 2006. In such circumstances, the Petition need not be entertained againt the interim order. Petition rejected.